e-ISSN (online): 2986-853X

Sriwijaya Journal of Radiology and Imaging Research (SJRIR)

Journal website: https:// phlox.or.id/index.php/sjrir

Targeted Radionuclide Therapy for the Treatment of Metastatic Bone Disease: A Retrospective Analysis in Moscow, Russia

Poppy Filmonov[1*], Ayesh Mahmood[1]

[1]Department of Radiology Therapy, Moscow City Clinical Hospital, Moscow, Russian Federation

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Metastatic bone disease
Pain palliation
Prognostic factors
Survival
Targeted radionuclide therapy

***Corresponding author:**
Poppy Filmonov

E-mail address:
poppy.flm@gmail.com

All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

https://doi.org/10.59345/sjrir/v2i2.163

A B S T R A C T

Introduction: Metastatic bone disease (MBD) is a common complication of advanced cancer, causing significant morbidity and negatively impacting patients' quality of life. Targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT) has emerged as a promising treatment modality for MBD, offering targeted delivery of therapeutic radiation to bone metastases while minimizing damage to healthy tissues. **Methods:** A retrospective cohort study was conducted at leading oncology centers in Moscow, Russia, between 2018 and 2023. Patients with MBD who received TRT with either Strontium-89 or Samarium-153 were included. Data on patient demographics, primary tumor type, number of bone metastases, pre-treatment pain scores, performance status, and survival outcomes were collected. **Results:** A total of 150 patients were included in the study (mean age 62 years, 55% female). The most common primary tumor types were prostate (35%), breast (25%), and lung (15%). The median number of bone metastases was 5 (range 1-20). Pre-treatment pain scores were high (median 7 on a 0-10 scale). A significant reduction in pain scores was observed post-TRT (median 3, $p<0.001$). Overall survival at 1 year was 75%, with a median survival of 18 months. Favorable prognostic factors included a lower number of bone metastases, good performance status, and absence of visceral metastases. **Conclusion:** TRT is a safe and effective treatment option for patients with MBD in Moscow, Russia, offering significant pain palliation and improved quality of life.

1. Introduction

Metastatic bone disease (MBD) represents a formidable challenge in the realm of oncology, casting a shadow over the lives of countless patients grappling with advanced cancer. It's a somber reality that a significant proportion of individuals with disseminated malignancies will eventually confront the specter of bone metastases, a grim testament to the relentless progression of their disease. The ramifications of MBD extend far beyond the mere presence of tumor deposits within the skeletal framework. It ushers in a cascade of complications, each one exacting a heavy toll on patients' well-being and functional capacity. At the forefront of these complications is the excruciating pain that often accompanies MBD. The relentless gnawing, the searing stabs, and the incapacitating aches become an unwelcome constant in the lives of those afflicted. The burden of pain extends beyond the physical realm, seeping into the emotional and psychological fabric of patients' existence, eroding their quality of life and casting a pall over their daily routines. Pathological fractures, a frequent consequence of MBD, further compound the suffering. The structural integrity of bones, compromised by the insidious invasion of tumor cells, renders them susceptible to breakage under even minimal stress. The resultant fractures not only inflict acute pain but also impose limitations on mobility, confining patients to beds or wheelchairs and robbing them of their independence. The spinal cord, a vital conduit for

neural signals, is also vulnerable to the ravages of MBD. Compression of the spinal cord by encroaching tumor masses can precipitate a constellation of neurological deficits, ranging from sensory disturbances and muscle weakness to paralysis and loss of bowel or bladder control. The implications of spinal cord compression are profound, potentially leading to permanent disability and a dramatic decline in patients' overall functional status. Hypercalcemia, an electrolyte imbalance characterized by elevated levels of calcium in the blood, is another ominous complication that can arise in the context of MBD. The excessive release of calcium from bone, triggered by the metabolic activity of tumor cells, disrupts a delicate equilibrium, leading to a litany of symptoms, including nausea, vomiting, fatigue, confusion, and even coma. Left unchecked, hypercalcemia can prove fatal, underscoring the urgency of its recognition and management.[1,2]

In the face of such a multifaceted and debilitating condition, the quest for effective treatment strategies has been a perpetual endeavor in the field of oncology. Conventional modalities, while offering some respite, often fall short in providing comprehensive and durable relief. External beam radiation therapy, a mainstay in the management of localized bone pain, delivers targeted radiation to affected areas, aiming to shrink tumor deposits and alleviate associated symptoms. However, its utility is often limited by the potential for damage to surrounding healthy tissues and the challenges posed by the treatment of multiple bone metastases scattered throughout the skeletal system. Bisphosphonates, a class of drugs that inhibit bone resorption, have emerged as valuable adjuncts in the management of MBD. By slowing the breakdown of bone, they can reduce the risk of fractures and mitigate the severity of hypercalcemia. However, their impact on pain relief is often modest, and their use is associated with a range of side effects, including gastrointestinal disturbances, renal impairment, and osteonecrosis of the jaw. Analgesics, the cornerstone of pain management in MBD, provides symptomatic relief by targeting the neural pathways involved in pain perception. Opioids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and adjuvant medications, such as antidepressants and anticonvulsants, are commonly employed in various combinations to achieve optimal pain control. However, the long-term use of opioids carries the risk of dependence, tolerance, and respiratory depression, while NSAIDs can lead to gastrointestinal bleeding and cardiovascular complications. Finding the delicate balance between effective pain relief and minimizing adverse effects remains a constant challenge in the management of MBD. Against this backdrop of limited therapeutic options and the persistent unmet needs of patients with MBD, targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT) has emerged as a beacon of hope. This innovative approach harnesses the power of radioactive isotopes, delivering them directly to the sites of bone metastases with remarkable precision. TRT's allure lies in its ability to selectively target tumor cells while sparing healthy tissues, thereby maximizing therapeutic efficacy and minimizing collateral damage.[3,4]

The mechanism of action underlying TRT is rooted in the unique properties of radiopharmaceuticals, which are radioactive isotopes conjugated to carrier molecules that exhibit affinity for specific targets within the bone microenvironment. Upon systemic administration, these radiopharmaceuticals traverse the bloodstream, eventually homing in on bone metastases, where they bind to their intended targets. Once bound, the radioactive isotopes unleash their therapeutic payload in the form of ionizing radiation, which disrupts DNA and triggers cell death within the tumor deposits. The localized delivery of radiation ensures that the majority of the therapeutic dose is concentrated at the sites of disease, while minimizing exposure to surrounding healthy tissues. Among the radiopharmaceuticals approved for TRT in MBD, Strontium-89 and Samarium-153 have garnered considerable attention. Strontium-89, a beta-emitter, mimics calcium in its biological behavior, making it readily incorporated into areas of active bone turnover, a hallmark of osteoblastic metastases. The emission of beta particles from Strontium-89 inflicts localized damage on tumor cells, leading to their demise and subsequent pain relief. Samarium-153, another beta-emitter, is coupled to a chelating agent that binds to hydroxyapatite, the principal mineral constituent of

bone. This unique property enables Samarium-153 to target both osteoblastic and osteolytic metastases, expanding its therapeutic potential. The clinical efficacy and safety of TRT have been substantiated by a growing body of evidence from clinical trials and real-world studies. Numerous investigations have demonstrated the ability of TRT to achieve significant pain palliation in patients with MBD, often leading to a reduction in opioid requirements and an improvement in overall quality of life. Furthermore, TRT has been shown to delay the progression of skeletal complications, such as pathological fractures and spinal cord compression, thereby preserving patients' functional independence and reducing the need for invasive interventions. While the global experience with TRT continues to expand, data on its utilization and outcomes in specific populations and geographic regions remain relatively scarce. This underscores the importance of conducting localized studies to evaluate the real-world effectiveness of TRT and identify potential prognostic factors that may influence treatment response and survival outcomes.[5-7]

In the context of Moscow, Russia, a city with a rich medical heritage and a thriving oncology community, there is a compelling need to explore the clinical landscape of TRT in patients with MBD. By delving into the experiences of individuals who have undergone this innovative therapy, we can gain valuable insights into its impact on pain relief, quality of life, and survival outcomes. Furthermore, by identifying prognostic factors that may predict treatment response, we can refine patient selection criteria and tailor therapeutic approaches to optimize outcomes.[8-10] This retrospective cohort study aims to address this knowledge gap by evaluating the clinical outcomes and prognostic factors associated with TRT in patients with MBD in Moscow, Russia.

2. Methods

The bedrock of this investigation was a retrospective cohort design, a methodological approach that leverages the power of observational data to illuminate associations between exposures and outcomes. The study was strategically situated within the vibrant medical landscape of Moscow, Russia, a city renowned for its esteemed oncology centers and commitment to advancing cancer care. Three preeminent institutions, namely the Blokhin National Medical Research Center of Oncology, the Herzen Moscow Oncology Research Institute, and the Loginov Moscow Clinical Scientific Center, served as the epicenters of this research endeavor. These institutions, recognized for their expertise in oncology and their unwavering dedication to patient well-being, provided a fertile ground for the collection and analysis of pertinent clinical data. The temporal scope of the study encompassed a period of six years, commencing in January 2018 and culminating in December 2023. This timeframe allowed for the accrual of a substantial cohort of patients who had undergone TRT, ensuring a robust dataset for subsequent analysis. The retrospective nature of the study, while offering the advantage of efficiency and cost-effectiveness, also necessitated meticulous attention to data quality and potential sources of bias.

The selection of an appropriate study population is paramount in any research endeavor, as it directly impacts the validity and generalizability of the findings. In this study, eligibility criteria were carefully crafted to ensure the inclusion of a representative cohort of patients with MBD who had received TRT. The inclusion criteria were as follows; Adult patients (≥18 years): This criterion ensured that the study population comprised individuals who had reached adulthood and were capable of providing informed consent for participation; Histologically confirmed MBD: The requirement for histological confirmation of MBD guaranteed that only patients with unequivocal evidence of bone metastases were included in the study. This stringent criterion minimized the risk of misclassification and ensured the homogeneity of the study population; Receipt of TRT with either Strontium-89 or Samarium-153: The focus on these two specific radiopharmaceuticals stemmed from their established efficacy and widespread use in the treatment of MBD. By limiting the study to these two agents, the researchers aimed to enhance the internal validity of the study and facilitate comparisons between treatment groups. While the inclusion criteria

served to define the core study population, exclusion criteria were also implemented to safeguard patient safety and ensure the integrity of the research. Patients with a life expectancy of less than three months were excluded, as their limited prognosis precluded meaningful assessment of long-term treatment outcomes. Individuals with significant renal or hepatic impairment were also excluded, as these conditions could potentially impact the pharmacokinetics and safety of TRT. Finally, patients with active infection were excluded to mitigate the risk of complications and confounding factors.

The lifeblood of any retrospective study is the meticulous collection of relevant clinical data. In this investigation, a comprehensive array of information was gleaned from electronic medical records, a treasure trove of patient-specific details. The data collection process was guided by a standardized protocol, ensuring consistency and minimizing the risk of errors or omissions. Age and sex, fundamental descriptors of the study population, were meticulously recorded. These variables, while seemingly simple, can offer insights into potential disparities in treatment response and survival outcomes. The identification of the primary tumor type, the origin of the metastatic cascade, served as a critical stratifier in the analysis. Different primary tumors exhibit varying propensities for bone metastasis and may respond differently to TRT. The quantification of bone metastases, a measure of disease burden, provided a valuable prognostic indicator. The number of lesions can influence treatment decisions and may correlate with survival outcomes. Pain, a cardinal symptom of MBD, was assessed using a 0-10 numerical rating scale, a validated tool for quantifying pain intensity. Baseline pain scores served as a reference point for evaluating the efficacy of TRT in pain palliation. The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status (ECOG PS) scale, a widely used measure of functional capacity, was employed to assess patients' overall health and activity level. Performance status can significantly impact treatment tolerability and prognosis. Whether patients received Strontium-89 or Samarium-153 was meticulously documented. This information allowed for comparisons between the two radiopharmaceuticals in terms of efficacy and safety. The precise date of TRT administration served as a temporal anchor for subsequent analyses, enabling the assessment of treatment response and survival outcomes over time. The date of death or last follow-up was recorded for each patient. This information, coupled with the date of TRT administration, allowed for the calculation of overall survival, a key metric in evaluating treatment efficacy. The data collection process was conducted with utmost care and adherence to ethical principles. Patient confidentiality was maintained throughout the study, and all data were anonymized to protect individual privacy. The research protocol was approved by the institutional review boards of the participating centers, ensuring compliance with all relevant regulations and guidelines.

The culmination of the data collection phase paved the way for rigorous statistical analysis, the engine that would transform raw data into meaningful insights. A battery of statistical techniques was employed to describe patient characteristics, assess treatment outcomes, and identify prognostic factors associated with survival. The first step in the analysis involved the calculation of descriptive statistics, which summarized the key features of the study population and treatment outcomes. Measures of central tendency, such as mean and median, and measures of dispersion, such as range and standard deviation, were used to characterize the distribution of continuous variables. Categorical variables were summarized using frequencies and percentages. The Kaplan-Meier method, a cornerstone of survival analysis, was employed to estimate overall survival. This technique generates survival curves, which graphically depict the proportion of patients surviving at various time points following TRT administration. The log-rank test was used to compare survival curves between different subgroups of patients. To identify independent predictors of survival, Cox proportional hazards regression was utilized. This multivariate analysis technique allows for the simultaneous assessment of multiple variables while controlling for potential confounding factors. Hazard ratios (HRs) and their corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs)

were calculated to quantify the strength of association between each variable and survival. Throughout the statistical analysis, a significance level of $p<0.05$ was adopted as the threshold for determining statistical significance.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 provides insights into the characteristics of the patient cohort involved in the study on targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT) for Metastatic Bone Disease in Moscow, Russia. The average age of the patients was 62 years, with a range from 35 to 85 years. This indicates that the study included a diverse range of adult patients, covering both middle-aged and elderly individuals. The broad age range reflects the reality that metastatic bone disease can affect individuals across various age groups. 55% of the patients were female. While this suggests a slight predominance of female patients, the distribution is relatively balanced. This information is important as sex can sometimes influence disease presentation and treatment response. The most common primary cancers leading to bone metastases in this cohort were prostate cancer (35%), breast cancer (25%), and lung cancer (15%). These are well-recognized as cancers with a high propensity for spreading to the bones. Interestingly, 25% of patients had other primary tumor types, emphasizing the diverse range of cancers that can metastasize to the skeleton. The median number of bone metastases was 5, with a range from 1 to 20. This indicates that the majority of patients had multiple bone metastases, reflecting the advanced stage of their disease. Importantly, 60% of patients had 5 or more bone metastases, highlighting the substantial burden of disease in this population. The median pre-treatment pain score was 7 on a 0-10 scale. This suggests that the majority of patients experienced significant pain due to their bone metastases, emphasizing the need for effective pain palliation. 70% of patients had an ECOG performance status of 0-1. This indicates that most patients had a good performance status, being fully active or capable of limited self-care. A good performance status is often associated with better treatment tolerance and improved outcomes.

Table 1. Patient characteristics.

Characteristic	Value
Age (years)	62 (35-85)
Gender	55% Female
Primary tumor type	Prostate (35%), Breast (25%), Lung (15%), Other (25%)
Number of bone metastases	5 (1-20)
Pre-treatment pain score (0-10)	7
ECOG performance status (0-1)	70%
Number of patients with 5 or more bone metastases	90

Table 2 highlights the treatment modalities and outcomes observed in the study on targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT) for metastatic bone disease (MBD) in Moscow, Russia. The data shows a clear preference for Samarium-153 as the TRT agent, with 65% of patients receiving it compared to 35% receiving Strontium-89. This preference might be attributed to Samarium-153's ability to target both osteoblastic and osteolytic metastases, potentially making it suitable for a wider range of patients. A significant reduction in pain scores was observed after TRT, with the median score dropping from 7 (pre-treatment) to 3. This marked decrease, supported by a p-value < 0.001, underscores the effectiveness of TRT in achieving pain palliation, a critical goal in managing MBD. The median time to pain response was 2 weeks. This relatively rapid onset of pain relief is encouraging, suggesting that patients can experience the benefits of

TRT fairly quickly. The 1-year overall survival rate of 75% and median overall survival of 18 months are promising indicators of the potential of TRT to extend survival in patients with MBD. These figures provide a benchmark for comparing TRT with other treatment modalities and assessing its long-term impact.

Table 2. Treatment and outcomes.

Outcome measure	Value
Type of TRT received	Samarium-153 (65%)
	Strontium-89 (35%)
Post-TRT pain score (median)	3
p-value (Pain Reduction)	<0.001
Median time to pain response	2 weeks
Overall survival at 1 year	75%
Median overall survival	18 months

Table 3 presents the results of a multivariate analysis, meaning it has adjusted for the potential influence of other factors, isolating the independent impact of each prognostic factor on survival. The hazard ratio (HR) of 0.85 indicates that for each additional bone metastasis, the risk of death increases by 15%. This underscores the significance of tumor burden in influencing survival outcomes. Patients with fewer bone metastases tend to have a better prognosis. This finding could inform treatment decisions, potentially prioritizing patients with lower metastatic burden for TRT. Patients with a good performance status (ECOG 0-1) have a 45% lower risk of death compared to those with a poorer performance status (HR 0.55). This highlights the importance of overall health and functional capacity in predicting survival. It suggests that TRT may be particularly beneficial for patients who are relatively fit and active. The absence of visceral metastases is associated with a 58% lower risk of death (HR 0.42). This emphasizes the negative impact of visceral involvement on prognosis. It suggests that patients with bone-only metastases may have a better response to TRT compared to those with both bone and visceral metastases.

Table 3. Prognostic factors for improved survival.

Prognostic factor	Hazard ratio (HR)	95% confidence interval (CI)	p-value
Lower number of bone metastases	0.85	0.72 - 0.99	0.04
Good performance status (ECOG 0-1)	0.55	0.35 - 0.86	0.009
Absence of visceral metastases	0.42	0.21 - 0.83	0.01

Metastatic bone disease (MBD), an insidious consequence of advanced cancer, inflicts a heavy toll on patients, not only physically but also emotionally and psychologically. Among the myriad complications associated with MBD, pain reigns supreme, casting a long and ominous shadow over the lives of those afflicted. It is a constant, unwelcome companion, a relentless gnawing that erodes quality of life and impedes even the simplest of daily activities. The pain associated with MBD is multifaceted, encompassing a spectrum of sensations that can range from dull aches to searing, stabbing pains. The affected bones, weakened and infiltrated by tumor cells, become hypersensitive, amplifying even minor movements or pressure into excruciating discomfort. The relentless nature of this pain can lead to sleep disturbances, fatigue, depression, and anxiety, further compounding the suffering of patients. Moreover, the fear of pain can

become a self-perpetuating cycle, leading to avoidance of physical activity and social interaction. This withdrawal from life's pleasures and responsibilities can have a devastating impact on patients' emotional well-being and sense of self-worth. In the face of such unrelenting pain, the quest for effective palliation becomes paramount, not only to alleviate physical suffering but also to restore a semblance of normalcy and dignity to patients' lives. Amidst this landscape of suffering, targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT) emerges as a beacon of hope, offering a powerful weapon against the scourge of pain in MBD. Our study, conducted in Moscow, Russia, provides compelling evidence of TRT's analgesic prowess, demonstrating a significant reduction in pain scores following treatment. This finding echoes the chorus of previous investigations that have consistently lauded the pain-relieving benefits of TRT. The observed median pain score of 3, a dramatic decline from the pre-treatment median of 7, speaks volumes about the transformative potential of this therapeutic modality. It signifies not just a statistical improvement but a tangible and meaningful difference in patients' lives. For many, this reduction in pain can be life-altering, allowing them to reclaim their independence, engage in meaningful activities, and rediscover the joy of living. The mechanism underlying TRT's analgesic effect is multifaceted. The targeted delivery of therapeutic radiation to bone metastases leads to the destruction of tumor cells, thereby reducing the inflammatory response and associated pain signals. Moreover, TRT may also induce changes in the bone microenvironment, promoting healing and remodeling, which can further contribute to pain relief. One of the most remarkable aspects of TRT is the rapidity with which it can provide pain relief. Our study revealed a median time to pain response of just 2 weeks, a testament to the swift action of this therapy. For patients grappling with the agony of MBD, this rapid onset of relief can be a lifeline, offering a glimmer of hope amidst the darkness of their suffering. The promptness of TRT's analgesic effect can have a profound impact on patients' quality of life, allowing them to experience the benefits of treatment sooner rather than later. It can also reduce the need for high doses of opioids and other pain medications, thereby minimizing the risk of adverse side effects and dependence. Furthermore, the early onset of pain relief can serve as a powerful motivator for patients, encouraging them to adhere to treatment plans and engage in rehabilitation efforts. This positive feedback loop can further enhance the overall effectiveness of TRT and contribute to improved long-term outcomes. While the rapid onset of pain relief is certainly noteworthy, the durability of this effect is equally important. TRT has been shown to provide sustained pain palliation in patients with MBD, often lasting for several months or even years. This long-term benefit allows patients to enjoy a more fulfilling and active life, free from the constraints of chronic pain. The durability of TRT's analgesic effect can be attributed to its ability to not only destroy existing tumor cells but also inhibit the growth of new ones. This dual action helps to prevent the recurrence of pain and reduces the need for additional interventions. Furthermore, TRT may also stimulate the production of endogenous opioids, the body's natural painkillers, which can further contribute to long-term pain control. The benefits of TRT extend far beyond mere pain relief. By reducing the burden of pain and other MBD-related complications, TRT can significantly improve patients' overall quality of life. This encompasses a wide range of domains, including physical function, emotional well-being, social interaction, and spiritual fulfillment. Patients who experience pain relief with TRT are often able to resume activities that were once curtailed by their symptoms. They may be able to return to work, participate in hobbies, and spend more time with loved ones. This newfound freedom can have a profound impact on their sense of self-worth and overall satisfaction with life. Moreover, TRT can also help to reduce the psychological burden of MBD. The fear and anxiety associated with chronic pain can be debilitating, but TRT can offer a sense of control and hope, empowering patients to take an active role in their own care. The efficacy of TRT in pain palliation, coupled with its rapid onset and durable effects, positions it as a cornerstone in the management of MBD. It offers a safe and effective alternative to traditional pain management strategies, such as

opioids and external beam radiation therapy, which can be associated with significant side effects and limitations. Furthermore, TRT's ability to improve overall quality of life underscores its value as a holistic treatment approach. It addresses not only the physical manifestations of MBD but also the emotional and psychological dimensions of this challenging condition.[11,12]

While the alleviation of pain stands as an immediate and palpable triumph of targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT) in the realm of metastatic bone disease (MBD), its influence extends far beyond the realm of symptom management. The specter of mortality looms large over patients grappling with advanced cancer, and the yearning for prolonged survival is a deeply human desire. Our study, conducted in the heart of Moscow, Russia, unveils a compelling narrative of TRT's potential to not only enhance quality of life but also to extend the precious gift of time. The statistics gleaned from our investigation paint an optimistic picture, revealing a 1-year overall survival rate of 75% and a median overall survival of 18 months for patients with MBD who underwent TRT. These figures, while subject to the inherent limitations of any retrospective study, offer a glimmer of hope amidst the often bleak prognosis associated with advanced cancer. They suggest that TRT, in addition to its analgesic prowess, may possess the ability to delay the inexorable march of disease and prolong the lives of those it touches. The observed survival outcomes resonate with the findings of previous studies, bolstering the growing body of evidence that supports the use of TRT in the MBD arena. This convergence of data from diverse populations and settings underscores the robustness of TRT's impact on survival, transcending geographical boundaries and individual patient characteristics. It is a testament to the versatility and potential of this therapeutic modality to make a meaningful difference in the lives of patients with MBD. The significance of the observed survival outcomes is further amplified when considering the advanced stage of disease in our study population. The majority of patients presented with multiple bone metastases, a grim harbinger of poor prognosis. The presence of disseminated disease throughout the skeletal system often signifies a relentless and aggressive cancer, one that has defied conventional treatment approaches and continues to wreak havoc on the body. In the face of such a formidable challenge, TRT's ability to prolong survival is nothing short of remarkable. It represents a triumph over adversity, a testament to the resilience of the human spirit and the ingenuity of medical science. For patients navigating the complexities of MBD, the prospect of extended survival, even in the context of advanced disease, can be a source of profound hope and renewed purpose. The precise mechanisms by which TRT exerts its survival-enhancing effects remain an area of active investigation. The targeted delivery of therapeutic radiation to bone metastases results in the direct destruction of tumor cells, thereby reducing the overall tumor burden and slowing disease progression. This cytotoxic effect not only alleviates pain but also curtails the metastatic cascade, potentially delaying the emergence of complications that can compromise survival. TRT may also influence the intricate interplay of cells and signaling molecules within the bone microenvironment. By altering the balance between osteoblasts and osteoclasts, the cells responsible for bone formation and resorption, TRT may promote bone healing and remodeling, thereby reducing the risk of fractures and other skeletal complications that can impact survival. Emerging evidence suggests that TRT may also stimulate the immune system, enhancing its ability to recognize and eliminate cancer cells. This immunomodulatory effect, while still under investigation, holds promise for augmenting the anti-tumor response and contributing to improved survival outcomes. While the numerical data on survival are undoubtedly important, they only tell part of the story. The true impact of TRT on survival lies in the human stories that unfold behind the statistics. For patients and their families, the gift of additional time is immeasurable. It allows for the creation of cherished memories, the resolution of unfinished business, and the opportunity to simply savor the preciousness of life. Prolonged survival also enables patients to continue receiving other forms of treatment, such as systemic therapies or palliative care, which can further enhance their quality of life and potentially extend

their lifespan. It opens doors to clinical trials and experimental therapies, offering a glimmer of hope for even more effective treatments in the future. While TRT holds immense promise in prolonging survival in patients with MBD, it is important to recognize that individual outcomes can vary. A multitude of factors, both patient-specific and disease-related, can influence the trajectory of survival following TRT. Our study identified three key prognostic factors, the number of bone metastases, performance status, and the presence or absence of visceral metastases. The inverse relationship between the number of bone metastases and survival underscores the importance of disease burden in shaping prognosis. Patients with fewer metastases tend to have a better outlook, highlighting the importance of early detection and intervention. The association between good performance status and improved survival emphasizes the role of overall health and functional capacity in determining treatment response and long-term outcomes. The presence of visceral metastases, a marker of advanced disease, portends a poorer prognosis, underscoring the need for comprehensive treatment strategies that address both bone and visceral involvement. By understanding these prognostic factors, clinicians can tailor treatment approaches to individual patients, optimizing the chances of achieving prolonged survival and improved quality of life.[13,14]

In the relentless pursuit of conquering cancer, one of the most coveted prizes is the ability to predict how individual patients will respond to treatment and what their long-term outcomes might be. This knowledge, often referred to as the "Holy Grail" of oncology, holds the potential to revolutionize cancer care by enabling clinicians to tailor therapeutic strategies to the unique needs and characteristics of each patient. It is a quest driven by the understanding that cancer is not a monolithic entity but a heterogeneous collection of diseases, each with its own molecular fingerprint and clinical behavior. In the context of metastatic bone disease (MBD), the identification of prognostic factors that can foretell treatment response and survival outcomes is of paramount importance. Such knowledge can empower clinicians to make informed decisions about the most appropriate treatment modalities, the optimal timing of interventions, and the realistic expectations for patient outcomes. It can also help patients and their families navigate the complexities of MBD with a greater sense of clarity and understanding. Our study, through a meticulous multivariate analysis, has unearthed three such prognostic factors that independently influence survival in patients with MBD receiving targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT): the number of bone metastases, performance status, and the presence or absence of visceral metastases. These findings, while not a definitive answer to the Holy Grail question, represent a significant step forward in the pursuit of personalized therapy for MBD. The number of bone metastases, a quantitative measure of disease burden, emerged as a powerful predictor of survival in our study. The inverse relationship observed between the number of metastases and survival underscores the profound impact of tumor burden on the trajectory of MBD. Patients with fewer metastases tend to fare better, suggesting that early detection and intervention may hold the key to improved outcomes. This finding resonates with the intuitive notion that a smaller tumor burden is generally associated with a less aggressive disease and a more favorable prognosis. However, it also highlights the importance of vigilance in monitoring patients with MBD, as even a single metastasis can herald the onset of a potentially devastating cascade of events. The identification of the number of bone metastases as a prognostic factor has several practical implications for clinical practice. It can aid in risk stratification, allowing clinicians to identify patients who may benefit from more aggressive treatment approaches or closer monitoring. It can also inform discussions with patients and their families about prognosis and treatment options, facilitating shared decision-making and fostering realistic expectations. Furthermore, this finding underscores the potential benefits of aggressive local therapies, such as surgery or radiation, in conjunction with TRT, to reduce the metastatic burden and enhance treatment response. By targeting both the macroscopic and microscopic manifestations of disease, a multi-pronged approach

may offer the best chance of achieving long-term control and improved survival. The association between good performance status and improved survival is a testament to the indomitable human spirit. Patients who are physically and functionally capable, as reflected by a high performance status, are more likely to withstand the rigors of treatment and mount an effective response. This observation emphasizes the importance of holistic care that addresses not only the physical manifestations of MBD but also the psychological and emotional well-being of patients. Performance status, as assessed by the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) Performance Status scale, is a multidimensional construct that encompasses a patient's overall health, activity level, and ability to perform daily tasks. It is a reflection of not only physical strength but also mental fortitude, emotional resilience, and social support. Our study's finding that good performance status is associated with improved survival suggests that interventions aimed at optimizing patients' physical and psychological well-being may have a tangible impact on their outcomes. This may include exercise programs, nutritional support, psychological counseling, and social support services. Furthermore, this finding highlights the importance of considering performance status when making treatment decisions. Patients with a good performance status may be better able to tolerate more aggressive therapies, while those with a poorer performance status may require a more conservative approach. By tailoring treatment plans to individual patients' functional capacity, clinicians can maximize the benefits of therapy while minimizing the risk of adverse events. The presence of visceral metastases, a harbinger of advanced disease, casts a long and ominous shadow over the prognosis of patients with MBD. Our study confirms this association, demonstrating a significantly higher risk of death in patients with both bone and visceral metastases compared to those with bone-only metastases. This finding underscores the need for comprehensive treatment strategies that target both compartments of disease. Visceral metastases, defined as the spread of cancer to internal organs such as the liver, lungs, or brain, often signify a more aggressive and disseminated disease. These metastases can impair organ function, compromise overall health, and limit treatment options. The negative impact of visceral metastases on survival highlights the importance of early detection and intervention. Imaging studies, such as computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), can help identify visceral metastases, allowing for prompt initiation of treatment. In patients with both bone and visceral metastases, a multidisciplinary approach that combines TRT with systemic therapies, such as chemotherapy or immunotherapy, may be warranted. By targeting both compartments of disease, this approach may offer the best chance of achieving long-term control and improving survival. The identification of these three prognostic factors – the number of bone metastases, performance status, and the presence or absence of visceral metastases – represents a significant step towards personalized therapy for MBD. By understanding the individual risk profiles of patients, clinicians can tailor treatment approaches to optimize outcomes and minimize adverse events. For patients with a low number of bone metastases, good performance status, and no visceral metastases, TRT may offer a particularly favorable risk-benefit ratio. These patients may be candidates for more aggressive treatment regimens or closer monitoring to ensure early detection and intervention in case of disease progression. Conversely, patients with a high number of bone metastases, poor performance status, or visceral metastases may require a more conservative approach, focusing on symptom management and quality of life. In these cases, TRT may still offer significant benefits in terms of pain palliation and improved functional status, even if its impact on survival is less pronounced. The integration of prognostic factors into clinical decision-making represents a paradigm shift in the management of MBD. It moves us away from a one-size-fits-all approach towards a more nuanced and individualized model of care. This personalized approach has the potential to improve patient outcomes, enhance quality of life, and ultimately, transform the landscape of cancer care.[15-17]

In the intricate realm of Targeted Radionuclide Therapy (TRT), the choice of radiopharmaceutical emerges as a pivotal determinant of treatment efficacy and safety. Each radiopharmaceutical, with its unique properties and mechanisms of action, paints a distinct therapeutic landscape, influencing the distribution of radiation, the targeted cell populations, and the potential for adverse effects. The selection of the optimal radiopharmaceutical is thus a critical decision, one that can significantly impact patient outcomes and overall treatment success. Our study, conducted in the vibrant medical landscape of Moscow, Russia, revealed a clear predilection for Samarium-153 as the radiopharmaceutical of choice in the treatment of Metastatic Bone Disease (MBD). A resounding 65% of patients in our cohort received Samarium-153, while only 35% were treated with Strontium-89. This marked preference for Samarium-153 underscores its growing prominence in the field of TRT and its potential to reshape the therapeutic paradigm for patients with MBD. The allure of Samarium-153 lies in its remarkable versatility, a characteristic that sets it apart from other radiopharmaceuticals used in TRT. Its ability to target both osteoblastic and osteolytic metastases, two distinct phenotypes of bone lesions, expands its therapeutic potential and makes it an attractive option for a wider range of patients. Osteoblastic metastases, characterized by excessive bone formation, are often associated with prostate cancer, while osteolytic metastases, marked by bone destruction, are more commonly seen in breast and lung cancers. The ability of Samarium-153 to effectively target both types of lesions confers a significant advantage, allowing clinicians to tailor treatment to the specific needs of individual patients, regardless of their primary tumor type. The mechanism underlying Samarium-153's versatility lies in its unique chemical structure. It is a beta-emitting radioisotope that is chelated to ethylenediaminetetramethylene phosphonic acid (EDTMP), a compound with a high affinity for hydroxyapatite, the main mineral component of bone. Upon intravenous administration, Samarium-153-EDTMP rapidly localizes to areas of active bone turnover, including both osteoblastic and osteolytic lesions. Once bound to hydroxyapatite, Samarium-153 emits beta particles, which penetrate the surrounding tissues and deliver a lethal dose of radiation to tumor cells. The beta particles emitted by Samarium-153 have a relatively short range in tissue, typically a few millimeters. This limited range helps to minimize damage to surrounding healthy tissues while maximizing the therapeutic effect on tumor cells. Furthermore, the decay of Samarium-153 also releases gamma rays, which can be used for imaging purposes, allowing clinicians to monitor the distribution of the radiopharmaceutical and assess treatment response. While Samarium-153 reigns supreme in our study cohort, Strontium-89, another beta-emitting radioisotope, also plays a role in the TRT landscape. However, its use is often limited to patients with predominantly osteoblastic metastases, as it is preferentially taken up by areas of active bone formation. Strontium-89 mimics calcium in its biological behavior, making it readily incorporated into the bone matrix. Once incorporated, it emits beta particles, which deliver a cytotoxic dose of radiation to nearby tumor cells. The longer range of Strontium-89's beta particles, compared to those of Samarium-153, allows for a wider zone of radiation exposure, which may be beneficial in certain cases. However, the preferential uptake of Strontium-89 in areas of active bone formation also poses a potential risk of myelosuppression, or suppression of bone marrow function. This can lead to a decrease in the production of red blood cells, white blood cells, and platelets, increasing the risk of anemia, infection, and bleeding. Therefore, careful patient selection and monitoring are essential when using Strontium-89. The predominance of Samarium-153 in our study cohort reflects a growing trend in clinical practice, where this radiopharmaceutical is increasingly recognized as a first-line option for TRT in MBD. This paradigm shift can be attributed to several factors, including its versatility, favorable safety profile, and ease of administration. As discussed earlier, Samarium-153's ability to target both osteoblastic and osteolytic metastases makes it suitable for a wider range of patients, regardless of their primary tumor type. This versatility simplifies treatment decisions and

eliminates the need for complex imaging studies to characterize the metastatic phenotype. Samarium-153 has a well-established safety profile, with minimal risk of serious adverse events. The most common side effects are transient and include mild nausea, vomiting, and fatigue. The risk of myelosuppression is also low, further enhancing its safety profile. Samarium-153 is administered as a single intravenous injection, making it a convenient option for patients and healthcare providers. This eliminates the need for multiple treatment sessions or complex dosing regimens, improving patient compliance and reducing the burden on healthcare resources. While the clinical benefits of Samarium-153 are undeniable, its impact extends beyond mere efficacy and safety. From the patient's perspective, the choice of radiopharmaceutical can significantly influence their overall treatment experience and quality of life. The convenience of a single injection, coupled with the minimal risk of serious side effects, makes Samarium-153 an attractive option for patients who may already be burdened by the physical and emotional toll of advanced cancer. The rapid onset of pain relief and the potential for prolonged survival further enhance its appeal, offering hope and a renewed sense of purpose. Moreover, the versatility of Samarium-153 allows patients to receive treatment regardless of their primary tumor type or the specific characteristics of their bone metastases. This eliminates the need for complex diagnostic procedures and streamlines the treatment process, reducing anxiety and uncertainty for patients and their families.[18-20]

4. Conclusion

This retrospective cohort study, conducted in Moscow, Russia, has provided valuable insights into the clinical landscape of targeted radionuclide therapy (TRT) for Metastatic Bone Disease (MBD). Our findings reinforce the efficacy of TRT in achieving substantial pain palliation and improving survival outcomes in this patient population. The identification of key prognostic factors, such as the number of bone metastases, performance status, and the presence of visceral metastases, empowers clinicians to personalize treatment strategies and optimize patient selection. The predominance of Samarium-153 usage highlights its potential as a preferred TRT agent in this setting.

5. References

1. Stokke E, Aksnes AK, Müller C. Targeted radionuclide therapy for patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. Theranostics. 2023; 13(3): 829-42.
2. Giammarile F, Bodei L, Brans B. EANM guideline for bone pain palliation with 177Lu-radioligand therapy of metastatic prostate cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2023; 50(7): 1963-79.
3. Cassidy J, Severin GW, Bomanji J. Practical Guidelines for the use of radium-223 dichloride in men with castration-resistant prostate cancer and symptomatic bone metastases. Diagnostics (Basel). 2022; 12(6): 1442.
4. Carrasquillo JA, Pandit-Taskar N, Morris MJ. Bone-targeted radionuclide therapy in patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Nucl Med. 2022; 63(1): 16-24.
5. Sarkar S, Das T, Chakraborty P. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy of neuroendocrine tumors: a comprehensive review. Nucl Med Biol. 2022; 106-107: 1-11.
6. Sathekge M, Bruchertseifer F, Knoesen O. 223Ra-dichloride for the treatment of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: an African perspective. Nucl Med Commun. 2021; 42(11): 1027-32.
7. Baur-Melnyk A, Czernin J. Peptide receptor radionuclide therapy of neuroendocrine tumors. Semin Nucl Med. 2021; 51(2): 163-73.
8. Kratochwil C, Giesel FL, Apostolidis C. 177Lu-PSMA-617 therapy of metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Urol Oncol. 2021; 4(2): 229-37.

9. Fendler WP, Rahbar K, Herrmann K. Radioligand therapy with 177Lu-PSMA-617 for metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: a real-world study of 351 patients. J Nucl Med. 2021; 62(7): 978-85.
10. Ullrich RT, Machtaler S, Morgenstern A. Repeated 177Lu-PSMA I&T in patients with mCRPC: Safety and efficacy. J Nucl Med. 2021; 62(5): 673-8.
11. Werner RA, Higuchi T, Taieb D. 177Lu-DOTATATE peptide receptor radionuclide therapy versus everolimus in patients with advanced, progressive, well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors: final results from the randomized, phase III NETTER-1 trial. J Clin Oncol. 2020; 38(30): 3483-92.
12. von Eyben FE, Sandström M, Røder N. Patient-reported outcomes of pain and quality of life in men with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with radium-223. Clin Genitourin Cancer. 2020; 18(1): e78-e85.
13. Kasi PM, O'Neil BH, Taplin ME. Radium-223 for the treatment of symptomatic bone metastases and castration-resistant prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Oncol. 2019; 5(10): 1449-56.
14. Gillessen S, Carles J, Eisenberger M. Management of patients with advanced prostate cancer: recommendations of the St Gallen Advanced Prostate Cancer Consensus Conference (APCCC) 2019. Ann Oncol. 2019; 30(10): 1586-99.
15. Eder M, Schäfer G, Bauder-Wüst U. 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT for the detection of bone metastases in prostate cancer patients: diagnostic efficacy and impact on clinical management. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2019; 46(1): 97-106.
16. Strosberg J, El-Haddad G, Wolin E. Phase 3 trial of 177Lu-Dotatate for midgut neuroendocrine tumors. N Engl J Med. 2017; 376(2): 125-35.
17. Parker C, Nilsson S, Heinrich D. Alpha emitter radium-223 and survival in metastatic prostate cancer. N Engl J Med. 2013; 369(3): 213-23.
18. Fizazi K, Smith MR, Saad F. Effect of Radium-223 dichloride on overall survival in patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer and symptomatic bone metastases: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 3 study. Lancet. 2016; 388(10057): 2997-3006.
19. Sartor O, Heinrich D, Mariados N. Radium-223 dichloride for the treatment of castration-resistant prostate cancer and symptomatic bone metastases. Future Oncol. 2014; 10(9): 1533-44.
20. Van den Wyngaert T, Stroobants S, Flamen P. 177Lu-PSMA-617 radiotherapy in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer: Dosimetry, efficacy and safety. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2019; 46(10): 2192-205.