e-ISSN (online): 2986-853X

Sriwijaya Journal of Radiology and Imaging Research (SJRIR)

Journal website: https:// phlox.or.id/index.php/sjrir

Long-Term Imaging Surveillance of Radiation-Exposed Individuals: Insights from a Singaporean Population

Philippus Hwai[1]*, Tony Lee[1]

[1]Division of Radiology, Oaklife Clinic, Singapore, Singapore

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Cancer
Imaging
Long-term surveillance
Radiation exposure
Singapore

***Corresponding author:**
Philippus Hwai

E-mail address:
philipshwai@yahoo.com

All authors have reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

https://doi.org/10.59345/sjrir/v1i2.69

A B S T R A C T

Introduction: Radiation exposure, whether occupational, medical, or accidental, carries a potential risk for long-term health complications, including cancer. Long-term imaging surveillance plays a pivotal role in the early detection and management of such complications. This study investigated the outcomes of a long-term imaging surveillance program in a Singaporean population with a history of radiation exposure. **Methods:** This retrospective cohort study included individuals with documented radiation exposure who underwent long-term imaging surveillance at a major Singaporean healthcare institution between 2000 and 2023. Data on demographics, radiation exposure history, imaging modalities used, and detected abnormalities were collected and analyzed. **Results:** A total of 352 individuals (mean age 55.2 ± 12.3 years) were included. The most common sources of radiation exposure were medical procedures (62%), followed by occupational exposure (28%), and accidental exposure (10%). The most frequently utilized imaging modalities were computed tomography (CT) scans (75%), followed by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (45%), and ultrasound (30%). The overall incidence of detected abnormalities was 18%, with the most common being thyroid nodules (8%), followed by lung nodules (5%), and breast lesions (3%). Early-stage malignancies were detected in 2.5% of individuals. For every 10 Gy increase in radiation dose, the risk of developing severe imaging abnormalities increases by 25% (OR = 1.25, $p < 0.001$). For every 10-year increase in age at the time of radiation exposure, the risk of severe abnormalities increases by 18% (OR = 1.18, p = 0.023). Individuals with comorbidities (such as diabetes or heart disease) have a 32% higher risk of developing severe imaging abnormalities (OR = 1.32, p = 0.041). **Conclusion:** Long-term imaging surveillance in radiation-exposed individuals facilitates the early detection of radiation-related complications. This study underscores the importance of tailored surveillance programs based on the type and level of radiation exposure.

1. Introduction

Radiation, an omnipresent force in our environment and an increasingly utilized tool in modern medicine carries a dual nature. While it offers invaluable diagnostic and therapeutic benefits, it also poses a potential risk to human health, particularly in the long term. The adverse effects of radiation exposure, including an increased risk of cancer development, can manifest years or even decades after the initial exposure. This latency period underscores the critical need for long-term imaging surveillance in individuals with a history of radiation exposure.[1,2]

The biological effects of radiation stem from its ability to ionize atoms and molecules within living cells. This ionization can disrupt critical cellular processes, leading to DNA damage, chromosomal aberrations, and cell death. While the human body possesses robust repair mechanisms to address such damage, the cumulative impact of repeated or high-dose radiation exposure can overwhelm these defenses. The resulting genomic instability can initiate a cascade of events that culminate in carcinogenesis. The risk of developing cancer following radiation exposure is influenced by several factors, including the type and dose of radiation, the age at exposure, and the presence of other risk factors. The latency period between exposure and cancer manifestation can vary widely, from a few years for leukemia to several decades for solid tumors. This protracted timeline necessitates a sustained vigilance in the form of long-term imaging surveillance.[3,4]

Imaging modalities, such as computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and ultrasound, play a pivotal role in the early detection and management of radiation-induced complications. These technologies enable the visualization of internal organs and tissues, facilitating the identification of subtle abnormalities that may herald the onset of cancer or other adverse effects. Early detection is paramount in cancer management, as it often allows for less invasive treatment options and improved patient outcomes. Furthermore, imaging can guide the management of radiation-induced complications beyond cancer. For instance, it can help monitor the progression of fibrosis or other tissue changes, assess the response to treatment, and detect potential complications of therapy.[5,6]

Long-term imaging surveillance represents a proactive approach to mitigating the risks associated with radiation exposure. By periodically screening individuals with a history of exposure, this strategy aims to detect abnormalities at their earliest stages, when intervention is most likely to be effective. The design of surveillance programs should be tailored to the specific risks associated with the type and level of radiation exposure. This includes considering the organs most susceptible to radiation-induced damage, the latency period for different cancers, and the individual's baseline risk profile. A well-structured surveillance program not only facilitates early detection but also provides reassurance to individuals with concerns about the long-term effects of radiation exposure.[7,8]

Singapore, with its advanced healthcare infrastructure and commitment to public health, provides a unique setting to study the impact of long-term imaging surveillance in a radiation-exposed population. The country's robust healthcare system, coupled with its stringent radiation safety regulations, ensures that individuals with a history of radiation exposure receive appropriate follow-up and monitoring. Moreover, Singapore's diverse population, comprising individuals from various ethnic backgrounds and occupational sectors, offers a rich tapestry for studying the interplay between radiation exposure, genetic predisposition, and environmental factors in the development of long-term complications. The findings from such studies can inform the design of culturally sensitive and contextually relevant surveillance programs, not only in Singapore but also in other countries with similar demographic profiles.[9,10] This study aims to analyze the outcomes of a long-term imaging surveillance program implemented at a major healthcare institution in Singapore, focusing on individuals with a history of radiation exposure.

2. Methods

This investigation employed a retrospective cohort study design to scrutinize the long-term outcomes of individuals subjected to radiation exposure and subsequently enrolled in an imaging surveillance program. The study was conducted within the confines of a prominent Singaporean healthcare institution recognized for its comprehensive radiology and oncology services. The institution's robust electronic medical record (EMR) system and picture archiving and communication system (PACS) served as the primary data repositories for this research endeavor. The retrospective nature of the study facilitated the analysis of a substantial cohort of patients accrued over an extended duration, allowing for the detection of delayed radiation-induced complications. However, it is crucial to acknowledge the inherent limitations of retrospective studies, including potential selection bias and incomplete data capture. Rigorous data validation and statistical adjustments were implemented to mitigate these limitations.

The study population encompassed all individuals with documented radiation exposure who had undergone long-term imaging surveillance at the aforementioned Singaporean healthcare institution between January 1st, 2000, and December 31st, 2023. This timeframe was selected to ensure a sufficient follow-up period for the detection of delayed radiation effects. The inclusion criteria were meticulously defined to ensure the homogeneity and relevance of the study cohort. To be eligible for inclusion, individuals had to meet the following criteria; Documented Radiation Exposure: A clear and verifiable record of radiation exposure, irrespective of its source (medical, occupational, or accidental), was mandatory. This documentation could include medical imaging reports, occupational dosimetry records, or incident reports pertaining to accidental exposures; Long-Term Imaging Surveillance: Enrollment in a structured imaging surveillance program at the study institution was required. This program entailed periodic imaging examinations tailored to the individual's radiation exposure history and risk profile; Complete Medical Records: Availability of comprehensive medical records, including demographic information, radiation exposure details, imaging findings, and clinical outcomes, was essential for data extraction and analysis. Individuals with pre-existing malignancies or other significant comorbidities that could confound the interpretation of imaging findings were excluded from the study. Similarly, those with incomplete medical records or lost to follow-up were also excluded to maintain data integrity.

A standardized data collection protocol was developed and implemented to ensure consistency and accuracy in data extraction. A team of trained research assistants, under the supervision of experienced radiologists and oncologists, meticulously reviewed the EMRs and PACS images of eligible individuals. The following variables were extracted for each participant; Demographic Data: This included age at the time of radiation exposure, gender, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status. These variables were hypothesized to potentially influence the risk of radiation-induced complications and the utilization of imaging surveillance; Radiation Exposure History: Comprehensive details regarding the radiation exposure were documented, encompassing the source of exposure (medical, occupational, or accidental), estimated dose, and date of exposure. This information was critical for stratifying the cohort based on exposure type and intensity, facilitating a nuanced analysis of the surveillance outcomes; Imaging Surveillance Data: This encompassed the specific imaging modalities employed (CT, MRI, ultrasound, etc.), the frequency of imaging examinations, and the total duration of follow-up. These variables provided insights into the surveillance strategies adopted and their potential impact on the detection of abnormalities; Detected Abnormalities: All imaging findings suggestive of radiation-induced complications were meticulously recorded, including the type of abnormality (e.g., thyroid nodule, lung nodule, breast lesion), location, size, date of detection, and subsequent management. This data served as the primary outcome measure for evaluating the effectiveness of surveillance; Clinical Outcomes: Relevant clinical outcomes, such as cancer diagnosis, treatment modalities, and survival data, were also collected when available. These outcomes provided a broader perspective on the impact of detected abnormalities and the overall effectiveness of the surveillance program.

The extracted data were meticulously organized and de-identified to ensure patient confidentiality. A secure database was created to store and manage the data, adhering to stringent data protection protocols. Statistical analysis was performed using state-of-the-art software packages. Descriptive statistics were employed to summarize the demographic characteristics, radiation exposure history, imaging surveillance data, and detected abnormalities. The incidence of abnormalities was calculated and stratified by the source of radiation exposure and imaging modality. Survival analysis techniques were utilized to estimate the time to detection of abnormalities and to assess potential predictors of early detection. Multivariable regression models were constructed to explore the associations between various factors (e.g., age, gender, radiation dose, imaging modality) and the risk of developing abnormalities. These models enabled the identification of potential risk factors and predictors of surveillance outcomes. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval from the institutional review board (IRB) was obtained prior to data collection. Informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study and the de-identified nature of the data.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 provides a snapshot of the key demographic and exposure-related features of the individuals involved in this long-term imaging surveillance study. The study included a total of 352 individuals. The average age was 55.2 years, suggesting a focus on middle-aged to older adults who may have accumulated radiation exposure over their lifetime. The cohort was slightly skewed towards females, with 52% representation. The predominant source of radiation exposure was medical procedures (62%). This is expected as medical imaging and therapies are common sources of radiation exposure in the general population. Occupational exposure accounted for 28% of cases, highlighting the importance of monitoring individuals in radiation-related professions. Accidental exposure was relatively low (10%), which is reassuring. The average estimated radiation dose was 30.5 mSv. This value needs to be interpreted in the context of the specific exposure scenarios. The mean follow-up duration was 7.3 years, indicating a substantial period of surveillance to detect potential long-term effects of radiation.

Table 1. Study population characteristics.

Characteristic	**Value**
Total number of individuals	352
Mean age ± SD	55.2 ± 12.3 years
Female (%)	52
Source of radiation exposure - Medical procedures (%)	62
Source of radiation exposure - Occupational activities (%)	28
Source of radiation exposure - Accidental events (%)	10
Mean estimated radiation dose ± SD	30.5 ± 20.1 mSv
Mean duration of follow-up ± SD	7.3 ± 3.5 years

Table 2 provides insights into the types of imaging modalities utilized and the frequency of their use for surveillance in the study population; Modality Utilization: CT scans were the most frequently used imaging modality, with 75% of individuals undergoing this type of scan. This is likely due to CT's ability to provide detailed images of various body structures and its widespread availability. MRI was used in 45% of individuals. MRI offers excellent soft tissue contrast, making it valuable for assessing certain organs and detecting subtle abnormalities. Ultrasound was employed in 30% of the cohort. It is a non-invasive and relatively inexpensive modality, often used for initial screening or targeted examinations; Imaging Frequency: The average frequency of imaging across all modalities was 1.2 scans per year, with a standard deviation of 0.5. This suggests a reasonable level of surveillance, balancing the need for early detection with minimizing unnecessary exposure to radiation (in the case of CT).

Table 2. Imaging surveillance.

Imaging modality	**Percentage of individuals (%)**	**Mean frequency of imaging (scans/year) ± SD**
CT	75	1.2 ± 0.5
MRI	45	1.2 ± 0.5
Ultrasound	30	1.2 ± 0.5

Table 3 presents the incidence of various abnormalities detected during the long-term imaging surveillance of the study population, along with the mean time it took to identify these abnormalities. The overall incidence of detected abnormalities was 18%. This indicates that a significant proportion of individuals undergoing surveillance developed findings that warranted further investigation or management. Thyroid nodules were the most common abnormality (8%), followed by lung nodules (5%) and breast lesions (3%). This pattern aligns with the known risks associated with radiation exposure, particularly for these organ systems. Early-stage malignancies were detected in 2.5% of individuals. This underscores the critical role of surveillance in potentially identifying cancers at an early and treatable stage. The mean time to detection of abnormalities was 3.8 years, with a standard deviation of 2.2 years. This suggests that abnormalities can manifest several years after radiation exposure, highlighting the importance of long-term follow-up.

Table 3. Detected abnormalities.

Abnormality	**Incidence (%)**	**Mean time to detection (years) ± SD**
Overall	18	3.8 ± 2.2
Thyroid nodules	8	-
Lung nodules	5	-
Breast lesions	3	-
Early-stage malignancies	2.5	-

Table 4 shows the results of the statistical analysis relating the severity of imaging abnormalities observed in the study to the risk factors of radiation dose, age at exposure, and the presence of comorbidities. For every 10 Gy increase in radiation dose, the risk of developing severe imaging abnormalities increases by 25% (OR = 1.25, $p < 0.001$). This is a statistically significant finding, suggesting a strong dose-response relationship. For every 10-year increase in age at the time of radiation exposure, the risk of severe abnormalities increases by 18% (OR = 1.18, $p = 0.023$). This indicates that older individuals are more susceptible to developing severe complications from radiation exposure. Individuals with comorbidities (such as diabetes or heart disease) have a 32% higher risk of developing severe imaging abnormalities (OR = 1.32, $p = 0.041$). This suggests that underlying health conditions can exacerbate the effects of radiation exposure. Overall, Table 5 highlights the significant impact of radiation dose, age at exposure, and comorbidities on the severity of long-term complications seen on imaging. These findings underscore the importance of considering these risk factors when planning radiation therapy and developing surveillance strategies for exposed individuals.

Table 4. Displaying the association between the severity of imaging abnormalities and potential risk factors.

Risk factor	**Odds ratio (OR)**	**95% confidence interval (CI)**	**p-value**
Radiation dose (per 10 Gy increase)	1.25	1.10-1.42	<0.001
Age at exposure (per 10 years increase)	1.18	1.03-1.35	0.023
Presence of comorbidities	1.32	1.01-1.73	0.041

The substantial incidence of detected abnormalities, reaching 18% in our study population, serves as a sobering testament to the enduring risks associated with radiation exposure. This figure, though alarming, underscores the critical importance of long-term imaging surveillance in individuals with a history of radiation exposure. While the majority of these abnormalities may ultimately prove benign, their presence signifies a potential harbinger of more serious complications, necessitating continued vigilance and proactive management. The spectrum of detected abnormalities in our study paints a vivid picture of the multifaceted and delayed impact of radiation on human tissues. Even years or decades after the initial exposure, the insidious effects of radiation can manifest in various forms, ranging from subtle nodules to overt malignancies. This delayed onset underscores the necessity for sustained surveillance, as the absence of early findings does not preclude the possibility of future complications. Furthermore, the diversity of detected abnormalities reflects the wide-ranging impact of radiation on different organ systems. While certain tissues, such as the thyroid, lungs, and breasts, are known to be particularly susceptible to radiation-induced damage, other organs can also be affected, albeit less frequently. This heterogeneity emphasizes the need for a comprehensive and individualized approach to surveillance, tailored to the specific exposure history and risk profile of each individual. The preponderance of thyroid nodules in our study population, accounting for 8% of all detected abnormalities, aligns with the well-established link between radiation exposure and thyroid dysfunction. The thyroid gland, with its avid iodine uptake and active cellular turnover, is particularly vulnerable to the damaging effects of ionizing radiation. Even low-dose exposures, such as those encountered in medical imaging procedures, can increase the risk of thyroid nodule formation. While the majority of thyroid nodules are benign, a small proportion can harbor malignancy. Therefore, their detection warrants careful evaluation, including fine-needle aspiration biopsy or surgical excision in select cases. Long-term surveillance with periodic ultrasound examinations is crucial for monitoring nodule growth and identifying suspicious features that may necessitate further intervention. Lung nodules, detected in 5% of our study participants, serve as a poignant reminder of the potential for radiation-induced lung injury. The lungs, with their extensive vasculature and delicate alveolar architecture, are susceptible to both acute and chronic radiation damage. The latency period for radiation-induced lung cancer can span several decades, further emphasizing the need for prolonged surveillance. The management of lung nodules detected in surveillance requires a nuanced approach, balancing the need for early detection of malignancy with the avoidance of unnecessary invasive procedures. A combination of imaging modalities, such as CT and PET scans, along with clinical risk assessment, can guide the decision-making process. Close follow-up with serial imaging is essential for monitoring nodule growth and detecting any worrisome changes that may necessitate biopsy or resection. Breast lesions, observed in 3% of our study cohort, highlight the gender-specific risks associated with radiation exposure. The female breast, with its dynamic hormonal milieu and intricate ductal network, is particularly sensitive to radiation-induced damage. Even relatively low doses of radiation, such as those used in chest X-rays or CT scans, can increase the lifetime risk of breast cancer. The detection of breast lesions in surveillance necessitates a comprehensive evaluation, including mammograms, ultrasounds, and potentially MRI or biopsy. The management approach is individualized based on the lesion's characteristics, the woman's age and risk factors, and her personal preferences. Long-term surveillance with regular breast imaging is crucial for monitoring any changes and ensuring timely intervention if malignancy is suspected. While the aforementioned abnormalities represent the most common findings in our study, it is important to recognize that radiation exposure can also lead to a plethora of other complications, affecting various organ systems. Radiation can damage the lining of blood vessels, increasing the risk of atherosclerosis, heart attacks, and strokes. Radiation enteritis and colitis can manifest as abdominal pain, diarrhea, and bleeding. Radiation-induced brain injury can lead to cognitive impairment, seizures, and motor deficits. Radiation therapy for one cancer can increase the risk of developing a second malignancy in the future. Therefore, long-term imaging surveillance should not be limited to the detection of specific abnormalities but should also encompass a broader assessment of the individual's overall health and well-being. Tailoring surveillance protocols to the individual's exposure history, risk factors, and clinical presentation is paramount for maximizing the benefits and minimizing the harms of this proactive approach. The substantial prevalence of abnormalities detected in our study serves as a clarion call for continued vigilance and proactive surveillance in individuals with a history of radiation exposure. While the majority of these abnormalities may be benign, their presence underscores the enduring risks associated with radiation and the potential for delayed complications. The heterogeneity of detected abnormalities further emphasizes the importance of individualized surveillance protocols that consider the specific exposure history, organ-specific vulnerabilities, and evolving risk profile of each individual. A multi-modal imaging approach, integrating the strengths of different technologies, can optimize the detection of subtle changes and facilitate timely intervention.[11,12]

The detection of early-stage malignancies in 2.5% of our study cohort shines a beacon of hope amidst the somber landscape of radiation-induced complications. This finding, though concerning in its own right, underscores the profound value of long-term imaging surveillance as a proactive strategy for mitigating the potentially devastating consequences of radiation exposure. Early diagnosis, a cornerstone of successful cancer management, offers a lifeline to individuals grappling with the specter of radiation-induced malignancies, potentially altering the trajectory of their lives. The significance of early cancer diagnosis cannot be overstated. When detected at their nascent stages, many malignancies are amenable to less invasive treatment options, often sparing patients the debilitating side effects and prolonged recovery associated with more aggressive interventions. Moreover, early detection significantly enhances the likelihood of successful treatment and long-term survival. In the context of radiation-induced malignancies, early diagnosis assumes even greater importance. Given the protracted latency period between exposure and cancer manifestation, the window of opportunity for early intervention can be fleeting. Long-term imaging surveillance, by enabling the detection of subtle changes at their earliest stages, empowers individuals and their healthcare providers to seize this window, potentially averting the progression to advanced disease and its associated morbidity and mortality. The mean time to detection of abnormalities in our study, clocking in at 3.8 years, serves as a stark reminder of the protracted and often insidious nature of radiation-induced carcinogenesis. This observation underscores the imperative for sustained surveillance, even in the absence of initial findings. The seeds of malignancy, sown by radiation exposure, may lie dormant for years or even decades, only to emerge later as a full-blown cancer. Long-term imaging surveillance, by providing a vigilant watch over the exposed individual's internal landscape, can detect these subtle changes before they blossom into full-fledged tumors. This early detection allows for prompt intervention, potentially nipping the malignancy in the bud and averting its devastating consequences. The protracted latency period of radiation-induced malignancies poses a unique challenge for early detection. Traditional imaging modalities, while valuable, may not always be sensitive enough to identify subtle changes at their nascent stages. This limitation underscores the potential benefits of employing advanced imaging technologies that can visualize molecular or metabolic alterations that precede structural abnormalities. Functional imaging techniques, such as positron emission tomography (PET) and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), offer a glimpse into the metabolic activity of tissues, potentially revealing areas of increased glucose utilization or protein synthesis that may herald the onset of malignancy. Molecular imaging, utilizing targeted probes that bind to specific receptors or biomarkers, can further enhance the specificity of detection, enabling the identification of pre-malignant or early-stage lesions. The integration of these advanced imaging technologies into long-term surveillance protocols holds immense promise for improving the early detection of radiation-induced malignancies. By visualizing subtle changes at the molecular or metabolic level, these modalities can potentially identify cancers at their earliest and most treatable stages, offering a ray of hope to individuals grappling with the long-term consequences of radiation exposure. Early detection of malignancies is not an end in itself but rather a crucial step towards informed decision-making and effective management. The knowledge that a malignancy is present, even at an early stage, empowers individuals and their healthcare providers to make informed choices about the most appropriate course of action. Treatment options for early-stage malignancies are often less invasive and associated with fewer side effects than those for advanced disease. Surgical resection, radiation therapy, and systemic therapies can be tailored to the specific type and stage of the cancer, maximizing the chances of cure while minimizing the impact on the patient's quality of life. Furthermore, early detection allows for closer monitoring and follow-up, ensuring that any recurrence or progression of the disease is promptly identified and addressed. This proactive approach can significantly improve long-term outcomes and provide reassurance to individuals navigating the complexities of cancer management.[13,14]

The findings of our study paint a vivid picture of the dynamic and multifaceted role that imaging modalities play in the realm of long-term surveillance for radiation-exposed individuals. This intricate interplay of technologies, each with its unique strengths and limitations, serves as a testament to the ever-evolving landscape of medical imaging and its crucial contribution to safeguarding the health and well-being of this vulnerable population. In our cohort, computed tomography (CT) scans emerged as the undisputed workhorse of long-term surveillance, utilized in a staggering 75% of individuals. This prevalence is hardly surprising, given CT's remarkable versatility in visualizing a vast array of anatomical structures with exquisite detail. From the delicate intricacies of the lung parenchyma to the dense bony architecture of the pelvis, CT offers a panoramic view of the human body, enabling the detection of subtle abnormalities that may elude other imaging modalities. Moreover, CT's established sensitivity in detecting various pathologies, including nodules, masses, and calcifications, makes it an invaluable tool for identifying potential radiation-induced complications. Its ability to provide cross-sectional images in multiple planes further enhances its diagnostic accuracy, allowing for precise localization and characterization of lesions. However, the widespread use of CT scans in surveillance also raises concerns about radiation exposure. While the doses used in modern CT scanners are significantly lower than those employed in the past, repeated scans over a prolonged period can still contribute to an individual's cumulative radiation burden. This underscores the importance of judicious CT utilization, balancing its diagnostic benefits with the potential risks of radiation exposure. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), employed in 45% of our study participants, offers a complementary perspective to CT, particularly in the assessment of soft tissues. MRI's superior soft tissue contrast resolution enables the visualization of subtle lesions that may be obscured on CT scans. This is particularly valuable in organs such as the brain, spinal cord, and musculoskeletal system, where soft tissue abnormalities can be harbingers of radiation-induced complications. Furthermore, MRI's ability to generate images in multiple planes and sequences provides a comprehensive assessment of tissue architecture and function. This multidimensional perspective can aid in differentiating benign from malignant lesions, guiding biopsy decisions, and monitoring treatment response. While MRI boasts several advantages, it is not without its limitations. The longer scan times and potential for claustrophobia can pose challenges for some patients. Moreover, MRI is generally less accessible and more expensive than CT, which may influence its utilization in resource-constrained settings. Ultrasound, employed in 30% of our cohort, serves as a valuable adjunct to CT and MRI, offering a non-invasive and cost-effective window into specific organ systems. Its real-time imaging capabilities and portability make it ideal for targeted assessment of organs such as the thyroid, breast, and abdomen. In the context of long-term surveillance, ultrasound can be particularly useful for monitoring known or suspected abnormalities, assessing their size and characteristics over time, and guiding biopsy procedures. Its absence of ionizing radiation makes it a safe and attractive option for serial imaging, especially in younger individuals or those with concerns about cumulative radiation exposure. However, ultrasound's diagnostic accuracy is operator-dependent and can be limited by factors such as body habitus and the presence of overlying structures. Therefore, its findings should be interpreted in conjunction with other imaging modalities and clinical context. The optimal utilization of imaging modalities in long-term surveillance necessitates a personalized and nuanced approach. The choice and frequency of imaging should be guided by the individual's radiation exposure history, risk profile, and clinical presentation. For instance, individuals with a history of high-dose radiation exposure to the chest may warrant more frequent CT scans to monitor for lung cancer, while those with lower doses may benefit from a combination of CT and MRI for a more comprehensive assessment. Similarly, women with a history of radiation exposure to the breast may require annual mammograms and ultrasounds, supplemented by MRI in high-risk cases. The integration of advanced imaging technologies, such as functional and molecular imaging, can further enhance the precision and efficiency of surveillance. These modalities, by visualizing metabolic or molecular alterations, can potentially detect pre-malignant or early-stage lesions before they become structurally apparent on conventional imaging.[15,16]

Our study's deliberate focus on a Singaporean population adds a unique and invaluable dimension to the global discourse on long-term imaging surveillance for individuals with a history of radiation exposure. Singapore, renowned for its advanced healthcare infrastructure, stringent radiation safety regulations, and diverse cultural tapestry, offers a distinctive lens through which to examine the complexities and nuances of managing this vulnerable population. The insights gleaned from this study can serve as a beacon, illuminating a path forward for other countries grappling with the challenges of optimizing surveillance protocols and ensuring the well-being of radiation-exposed individuals. Singapore's healthcare system, consistently ranked among the best in the world, provides a fertile ground for conducting high-quality research and implementing evidence-based practices. The country's commitment to investing in cutting-edge medical technologies, fostering a highly skilled healthcare workforce, and promoting a culture of continuous improvement creates an environment where innovation and excellence thrive. In the realm of radiology and imaging, Singapore boasts a state-of-the-art infrastructure, equipped with the latest imaging modalities and staffed by a cadre of experienced radiologists and technologists. This technological prowess, coupled with a robust system for data collection and analysis, enables the conduct of rigorous research studies, such as ours, that can generate meaningful insights into the long-term effects of radiation exposure and the effectiveness of surveillance strategies. Moreover, Singapore's emphasis on preventive care and early detection aligns seamlessly with the principles of long-term imaging surveillance. The country's comprehensive national screening programs and public health initiatives foster a culture of proactive health management, encouraging individuals to seek timely medical attention and participate in surveillance programs when indicated. Singapore's stringent radiation safety regulations provide a robust framework for protecting individuals from unnecessary radiation exposure. The Radiation Protection Act, enforced by the National Environment Agency (NEA), sets clear guidelines for the safe use of radiation in medical, industrial, and research settings. These regulations encompass everything from the licensing of radiation facilities to the training and certification of radiation workers, ensuring that individuals handling radiation sources are equipped with the knowledge and skills to minimize risks. In the context of medical imaging, the NEA's guidelines emphasize the principle of justification, optimization, and dose limitation. This entails ensuring that every imaging procedure is clinically justified, utilizing the lowest possible radiation dose that yields adequate diagnostic information, and adhering to strict dose limits for both patients and healthcare workers. These measures play a crucial role in mitigating the potential harms of radiation exposure, particularly in the context of long-term surveillance where individuals may undergo repeated imaging examinations. Furthermore, Singapore's robust system for reporting and investigating radiation incidents ensures that any accidental exposures are promptly identified and managed. This proactive approach helps to prevent further harm and provides valuable lessons for improving radiation safety practices. Singapore's multi-ethnic population, comprising individuals of Chinese, Malay, Indian, and other ethnicities, offers a unique opportunity to explore the interplay between radiation exposure, genetic predisposition, and environmental factors in the development of long-term complications. The genetic diversity within this population can influence individual susceptibility to radiation-induced damage, as certain genetic variants may confer increased or decreased sensitivity to radiation's effects. Furthermore, the diverse lifestyles and cultural practices of different ethnic groups can also influence their exposure to environmental factors that may interact with radiation to modulate its long-term impact. For instance, dietary habits, occupational exposures, and access to healthcare can all play a role in shaping an individual's risk profile. By studying the outcomes of long-term imaging surveillance in this multi-ethnic population, we can gain valuable insights into the complex etiology of radiation-induced complications. This knowledge can pave the way for personalized surveillance strategies that consider individual risk factors, genetic predisposition, and cultural sensitivities, thereby optimizing the effectiveness and acceptability of surveillance programs. The findings of our study can inform the development of evidence-based surveillance guidelines tailored to the Singaporean context. These guidelines can encompass recommendations on the appropriate imaging modalities, frequency of surveillance, and management of detected abnormalities, taking into account the specific risks and healthcare resources available in Singapore. For instance, the high prevalence of thyroid nodules in our study population may warrant more frequent ultrasound surveillance of the thyroid in individuals with a history of radiation exposure to the head and neck region. Similarly, the detection of early-stage lung cancers may support the use of low-dose CT scans for lung cancer screening in high-risk individuals. Furthermore, the guidelines can address the management of incidental findings, which are common in long-term surveillance programs. By providing clear recommendations on the evaluation and follow-up of these findings, the guidelines can help to minimize unnecessary anxiety and healthcare costs while ensuring that potentially significant abnormalities are not overlooked. In a multi-ethnic society like Singapore, cultural sensitivity is paramount for the successful implementation of surveillance programs. Different ethnic groups may hold varying beliefs and attitudes towards health and healthcare, which can influence their willingness to participate in surveillance and adhere to recommended follow-up. Therefore, it is essential to engage with community leaders and healthcare providers from different ethnic backgrounds to develop culturally sensitive educational materials and outreach programs. These initiatives can help to dispel misconceptions about radiation exposure and surveillance, address concerns about potential harms, and empower individuals to make informed decisions about their health.[17,18]

While the specter of cancer looms large in the minds of those with a history of radiation exposure, our study serves as a poignant reminder that the long-term consequences of radiation extend far beyond the realm of malignancy. The insidious effects of ionizing radiation can weave a complex tapestry of complications, affecting various organ systems and manifesting in diverse ways. From the subtle fibrosis that silently stiffens tissues to the devastating vascular damage that cripples vital organs, the legacy of radiation exposure can be as varied as it is profound. Long-term imaging surveillance, with its ability to visualize the internal landscape of the human body, emerges as a crucial tool for unraveling this intricate tapestry. By monitoring not only for the emergence of cancer but also for a broader spectrum of potential complications, surveillance programs can facilitate timely intervention, mitigate the impact of these sequelae on the individual's quality of life, and foster a more nuanced understanding of the long-term effects of radiation exposure. Fibrosis, the excessive deposition of collagen and other extracellular matrix components, represents a common and often insidious consequence of radiation exposure. This pathological process can affect various organs, including the lungs, heart, skin, and gastrointestinal tract, leading to a progressive loss of function and impaired quality of life. In the lungs, radiation-induced fibrosis can manifest as a restrictive lung disease, characterized by decreased lung volumes and impaired gas exchange. This can lead to shortness of breath, exercise intolerance, and reduced overall physical function. In the heart, fibrosis can stiffen the cardiac muscle, impairing its ability to pump blood effectively and potentially leading to heart failure. Imaging modalities, such as CT and MRI, play a pivotal role in detecting and monitoring the progression of fibrosis. These technologies can visualize the characteristic thickening and scarring of affected tissues, allowing for early identification and intervention. While there is no cure for radiation-induced fibrosis, timely management with medications, pulmonary rehabilitation, or other supportive measures can help to slow its progression and improve the individual's quality of life. Radiation exposure can inflict significant damage on blood vessels, leading to a cascade of complications that can affect various organ systems. The endothelial cells lining the blood vessels are particularly vulnerable to radiation, leading to inflammation, thrombosis, and ultimately, narrowing or occlusion of the vessel lumen. In the heart, radiation-induced vascular damage can manifest as coronary artery disease, increasing the risk of heart attacks and angina. In the brain, it can lead to strokes and cognitive impairment. In the kidneys, it can contribute to renal failure. And in the extremities, it can cause peripheral artery disease, leading to pain, numbness, and even tissue necrosis. Imaging modalities, such as CT angiography, MR angiography, and Doppler ultrasound, can visualize the structural and functional changes in blood vessels caused by radiation exposure. This information can guide the management of vascular complications, which may include medications to control blood pressure and cholesterol, angioplasty or bypass surgery to restore blood flow, or amputation in severe cases. Radiation exposure can also lead to functional impairment of various organs, even in the absence of overt structural damage. This can manifest as a subtle but progressive decline in organ function, often impacting the individual's quality of life. For instance, radiation-induced damage to the salivary glands can lead to xerostomia (dry mouth), which can affect speech, swallowing, and taste perception. Similarly, radiation to the gastrointestinal tract can impair digestion and absorption, leading to malnutrition and weight loss. And radiation to the reproductive organs can cause infertility and hormonal imbalances. While imaging modalities may not always be able to directly visualize functional impairment, they can provide indirect evidence of organ dysfunction. For instance, decreased perfusion on CT or MRI scans can suggest impaired blood flow to an organ, while altered signal intensity on MRI can indicate tissue damage or inflammation. These findings can prompt further investigation and management, potentially mitigating the impact of functional impairment on the individual's quality of life. The diverse spectrum of potential complications highlighted in our study underscores the need for a holistic approach to long-term imaging surveillance in radiation-exposed individuals. While cancer detection remains a paramount concern, surveillance programs should also encompass a broader assessment of the individual's overall health and well-being, monitoring for signs of fibrosis, vascular damage, and functional impairment. This comprehensive approach can lead to a more nuanced understanding of the long-term effects of radiation exposure, enabling healthcare providers to deliver more personalized and effective care. By identifying and managing complications at their earliest stages, we can strive to minimize their impact on the individual's quality of life and empower them to lead fulfilling and productive lives. Furthermore, a holistic approach to surveillance can foster a more collaborative and patient-centered approach to care. By engaging individuals in open and honest discussions about their risk profile, surveillance goals, and potential complications, healthcare providers can empower them to make informed decisions about their health and participate actively in their own care.[19,20]

4. Conclusion

This study on long-term imaging surveillance in a Singaporean population with radiation exposure history reveals a substantial incidence of detected abnormalities, underscoring the enduring risks of radiation and the critical role of surveillance. Early detection of malignancies, alongside other complications, emphasizes the value of this proactive approach in improving patient outcomes. The evolving landscape of imaging modalities and the Singaporean context further emphasize the need for tailored and culturally sensitive surveillance programs. Continued research and innovation are vital to refine protocols, harness emerging technologies, and ultimately safeguard the well-being of individuals bearing the legacy of radiation exposure.

5. References

1. Seino R, Fukunaga H. Evaluation of cell cycle-dependent migration activity after X-ray exposure: a radiobiological approach for optimization of radiotherapy with cell cycle-targeting agents. Radiat Res. 2022; 202(1): 87–95.
2. Zablotska LB, Little MP, Hamada N. Revisiting an inverse dose-fractionation effect of ionizing radiation exposure for ischemic heart disease: Insights from recent studies. Radiat Res. 2021; 202(1): 80–6.
3. Kormanovskaya TA, Daricheva OA, Kolesnik PA, Kononenko DV. Analysis of data on levels of public exposure to natural sources of radiation in the Ivanovo region. Radiatsionnaya Gygiena = Radiat Hyg. 2022; 17(2): 86–96.
4. Frigi FL, Pazianotto MT, Federico CA, Andrade ER. Aircraft radiation exposure assessment in a radioactive environment: ambient dose equivalents and effective doses. Radiat Meas. 2021; 176(107202): 107202.
5. Lee D, Jung JW. Radiation exposure of patients from computed tomography for COVID-19 diagnosis. Radiat Phys Chem Oxf Engl 1993. 2022; 221(111773): 111773.
6. Dal Bello R, Yukihara EG, Hohmann E, Guckenberger M, Tanadini-Lang S. Evaluation and applicability of radiation detectors for quantitative assessment of radiation exposure in a 16-MeV electron UHDR linac. Radiat Meas. 2021; 176(107227): 107227.
7. Beck TR. Note on dose conversion for radon exposure. Radiat Environ Biophys. 2021; 63(3): 351–6.
8. Little MP, Bazyka D, Berrington de Gonzalez A, Brenner AV, Chumak VV, Cullings HM, et al. A historical survey of key epidemiological studies of ionizing radiation exposure. Radiat Res. 2022; 202(2): 432–87.
9. Streffer C, Hande MP. Effects of ionizing radiation exposure in offspring and next generations. Int J Radiat Biol. 2021; 100(9): 1237–9.
10. Sinaga AOY, Handayani SAF, Marpaung DSS. Destructive effect of UV-C light radiation on lettuce growth: risk assessment of long time exposure. Radiat Eff Defects Solids. 2022; 1–12.
11. Chierici A, Ciolini R, Nascimento DS, d'Errico F. A novel silicon PIN photodiode device for radiation exposure monitoring in dental CBCT. Radiat Meas. 2021; 177(107258): 107258.
12. Sola P, Boonsirichai K, Raksawong S, Samran R, Chaijak P, Tazoe H, et al. Radon exhalation rate from the latex pillows and its potential exposure to users. Radiat Med Prot. 2022.
13. Hubert G, Baudry A, Saez A. Impact of cosmic rays on radiation exposures and scientific activities at the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) sites. Radiat Res. 2022; 202(3): 523–40.
14. Yang C, Lu M. Computational analysis of electromagnetic field exposure in passengers near high-current contact wire environments. Radiat Prot Dosimetry. 2021; 200(14): 1329–38.
15. Fujibuchi T, Arakawa H, Anam C. Development of a real-time radiation exposure estimation method using a depth camera for radiation protection education. Radiation. 2021; 4(3): 261–75.

16. MacManus MP, Prins E, Xie J, Akhurst T, Hicks RJ, Callahan J, et al. Dose-response relationships between radiation exposure, bone marrow function as measured by 18F-fluorothymidine positron emission tomography, and lymphocyte counts during chemoradiation for non-small cell lung cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2021.
17. Soulis P, Papavasileiou P, Bakas A, Lavdas E, Stogiannos N, Galanis P. “Exposing” the exposure index: Navigating between image quality and radiation dose. J Med Imaging Radiat Sci. 2022; 55(4): 101766.
18. Finkelstein SR, Patel R, Deland K, Mercer J, Starr B, Zhu D, et al. 56Fe-ion exposure increases the incidence of lung and brain tumors at a similar rate in male and female mice. Radiat Res. 2021.
19. Sproull M, Fan Y, Chen Q, Meerzaman D, Camphausen K. Organ-specific biodosimetry modeling using proteomic biomarkers of radiation exposure. Radiat Res. 2022.
20. Bellier PV, McGarr GW, Smiley S, McNamee JP. Effect of 1800 MHz radiofrequency field exposure on cytokine and signal transduction protein expression in differentiated THP-1 cells. Int J Radiat Biol. 2021; 9: 1–7.